
		
Category Description as maintained by the Minnesota Office of Higher Education		Number Reported (Total)
*	<p>Scope of Incidents: The report includes the number of incidents of sexual assault reported to Bethel in the previous calendar year (January 1, 2019 - December 31, 2019) in which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Either the alleged victim or respondent was a member of the campus community (employee or student) at the time of the incident; • The incident occurred on the institution’s campus (or on property owned/rented by the institution); or, • The incident occurred at an institutionally-sponsored event. 	
1	<p>The total number of incidents of sexual assault reported to the institution during the prior calendar year, within the scope of incidents as defined above.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Noting the added definition of “Incident” to 2020 Session Law, incident means: “one report of sexual assault to a postsecondary institution, regardless of the number of complainants included in the report, the number of respondents included in the report, and whether or not the identity of any party is known by the reporting postsecondary institution. Incident encompasses all nonconsensual events included within one report if multiple events have been identified.” •Include incidents that were reported as sexual assault but were later found not to be sexual assault, or where the report was unfounded. •Include incidents reported by the alleged victim as well as incidents reported by other named parties. •Exclude anonymous reports and aggregate deidentified data provided to the institution from confidential resources. 	<10
2	<p>Of those incidents in #1, the number that were investigated by the institution to determine whether the institution’s policy was violated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •“Investigated” can mean a formal investigation, an informal investigation, and/or an institutional inquiry. •Incidents may be reported to the institution but not investigated (as defined above) because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The alleged victim chose not to proceed with the investigation, and the institution determined there was no overriding concern to campus safety; •An incident occurred but the allegation was not of sexual assault; •The respondent was no longer enrolled or employed at the time of the report (but the actual incident occurred at an earlier time); •The respondent was not a member of the campus community; or, •A respondent was not identified in the report. 	<10
3	<p>Of those incidents in #2, the number that were referred for a disciplinary process at the institution.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I.e. the number of incidents that moved beyond an informal investigation or informal institutional inquiry. •A “disciplinary process” includes any process by which the institution investigates, adjudicates, and makes a determination regarding an allegation, in accordance with the institution’s own code of conduct or similar internal policy or rules. 	<10
4	<p>The number of total incidents (category #1) in which the alleged victim chose to report to local or state law enforcement, to the extent that the institution is aware.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Include incident reports made to any local or state law enforcement agency, not just those within Minnesota. 	<10
5	<p>The number of incidents for which a campus disciplinary process is pending, but has not reached a final resolution as of September 2020.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I.e. the incident was reported to the institution by December 31, 2019, but the case was still pending on September 2020. •“Pending” cases include those where: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The case is still under investigation; •The case is still under adjudication; •A final determination has not been made; •Case hearings have not yet reached their conclusion; •The case is under appeal; or, •The appeal period has not yet expired. 	0
6	<p>The number of incidents in which the respondent(s) was/were found responsible for sexual assault, through the disciplinary process at the institution.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Include only final (and not initial) determinations of responsibility. 	<10



		
	Category Description as maintained by the Minnesota Office of Higher Education	Number Reported (Total)
7	<p>The number of incidents that resulted in any action by the institution greater than a warning being issued to the respondent.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •A warning is an issuance of an oral or written reprimand that has no adverse consequence. •Examples of actions greater than a warning include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Requiring counseling; •Requiring education or training; •Placing a hold on the students’ record/transcript; •Issuing or enforcing a no-contact order; •Issuing or enforcing a no-trespass order; •Issuing or enforcing a partial no-trespass order that significantly limits campus access; •Removing a party from campus housing; or, •Issuing a disciplinary probation, suspension, or expulsion. 	<10
8	<p>The number of investigations that resulted in a disciplinary process (as defined in category #3) at the institution, but eventually closed without resolution.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I.e. a disciplinary process is initiated by the institution, but then forced to close prior to a determination being reached, therefore closing without resolution. •This situation could occur when: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The alleged victim withdraws from the institution; •The respondent withdraws from the institution (see category #9); or, •The alleged victim initially agrees to participate in an investigation, but later chooses not to participate in the remainder of the disciplinary process (see category #10). 	0
9	<p>Of those in #8, the number of investigations that resulted in a disciplinary process at the institution, but eventually closed without resolution – because the respondent withdrew from the institution.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •This number cannot be greater than the number reported in Category #8. 	0
10	<p>Of those in #8, the number of investigations that resulted in a disciplinary process at the institution, but eventually closed without resolution – because the alleged victim chose not to participate in the remainder of the process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •I.e. a disciplinary process is initiated by the institution but does not reach the stage of adjudication or determination, because the alleged victim declines to participate in the remainder of the disciplinary process. •Include situations where the alleged victim chooses not to participate in the institution’s disciplinary process after the disciplinary process has already begun. •If the alleged victim chooses not to participate in the disciplinary process prior to the initiation of the disciplinary process, please include that in Category #11 rather than Category #10. •This number cannot be greater than the number reported in Category #8. 	0
11	<p>The number of incidents in which the alleged victim chose not to participate in the institution’s investigation or disciplinary process prior to a disciplinary process beginning.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Include incidents where the alleged victim reports the incident but does not want the institution to move forward with an investigation or disciplinary process. •Include incidents reported to the institution by a third party, where the victim chose not to be involved in the report or investigation. 	<10
12	<p>The number of reports made through the online reporting system established in Minn. Stat. §135A.15, Subd. 5 – excluding reports submitted anonymously.</p>	<10